WO

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

ı	INITED	STATES	OF A	MERICA

V.

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Juan Pacheco-Prieto	Case Number:	08-7498m
Defendant was	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 present and was represented by counseletention of the defendant pending trial in	I. I conclude by a preponderan	ras submitted to the Court on 12/17/08. ce of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk
I find by a prep	Fonderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
X	The defendant is not a citizen of the Ur	nited States or lawfully admitte	ed for permanent residence.
<ul><li>The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the</li></ul>			•
			the Bureau of Immigration and Customs dithe defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in	the District of Arizona.
	she might make a bond reasonably calculated		
	The defendant has a prior criminal history	ory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applican substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties	in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to app	ear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeir	ng from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprison	ment.

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. **DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION** 2.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2008.

United States Magistrate Judge